

SUPPORT FOR THE AMENDMENTS

Claims 1-49, 52, 65, and 67-86 were previously canceled.

Claims 51, 57, 58, and 87-96 are canceled herein.

Claims 50 and 59-64 are amended herein.

Support for the amendment of Claims 50 and 59-64 is provided by the corresponding claims as previously presented, as well as the original claims and specification as filed. Specifically, Claims 50 and 59-64 have been amended to delete formula (C) from the options at Z. Claim 50 has also been amended to limit the scope thereof to the elected formulae (IIb), (IIc), and (IId) at A. Claim 50 has also been amended to replace “a substituent” with “one or more substituents” for (II) and (III). Support for this amendment is found in the specification as filed under the definitions of (II) and (III), as well as in compounds 539,555, 561, 567, 573, 579, 585, 591, 603, 608, 613, 619, 625, 631, 637, 643, 656, 662, 707, 779, 784, 790, 808, 814, 820, 824, 830, 836, 842, 847, 849, 851, 855, 978, 983, and 1001.

No new matter has been added by the present amendments.

REMARKS

Claims 50, 53-56, 59-64, and 66 are pending in the present application.

Applicants wish to thank Examiner Chandrakumar for the indication to the undersigned on May 9, 2011, that the elected invention is allowable. To this end, Applicants affirm the content of the Interview Summary mailed May 16, 2011. In addition, Applicants have amended Claim 50 to limit the scope thereof to the elected formulae (IIb), (IIc), and (IId) at A. Thus, Claim 50 is believed to be limited to the elected invention. Consistent with this amendment, Applicants have canceled non-elected Claims 51, 57, 58, and 87-96.

In the Office Action mailed January 7, 2011, the Examiner indicated that Claims 56 and 66 are withdrawn; however, this indication is in error. Specifically, in the Restriction Requirement mailed November 5, 2010, Claims 56 and 66 were included in Group II. In the response filed on December 2, 2010, Applicants elected Group II, which included Claims 56 and 66. Thus, Claims 56 and 66 are drawn to the elected invention and are not designated herein as having been withdrawn. Even if the Examiner determines that the previous indication that Claims 56 and 66 as being withdrawn was correct, the Examiner is reminded that MPEP §821.04(a) compels rejoinder. Acknowledgement Claims 56 and 66 are allowed is requested.

Applicants submit that the present application is now in condition for allowance.

Early notification of such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
Richard L. Treanor, Ph.D.



Vincent K. Shier, Ph.D.
Registration No. 50,552

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413-2220
(OSMMN 08/03)